

# School Accountability Report Card Reported for School Year 2009-10 Published During 2010-11

The School Accountability Report Card (SARC), which is required by law to be published annually, contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. More information about SARC requirements is available on the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>. For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

## I. Data and Access

### DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g. Academic Performance Index [API], Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP], test data, enrollment, graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

### Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## II. About This School

### Contact Information (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides the school's contact information.

School		District	
<b>School Name</b>	Yreka Community Day School	<b>District Name</b>	Yreka Union School District
<b>Street</b>	309 Jackson St.	<b>Phone Number</b>	(530) 842-1168
<b>City, State, Zip</b>	Yreka, CA 96097	<b>Web Site</b>	www.yrekausd.net
<b>Phone Number</b>	(530) 842-1168	<b>Superintendent</b>	Dave Parsons
<b>Principal</b>	Chris Harris	<b>E-mail Address</b>	dparsons@yrekausd.net
<b>E-mail Address</b>	charris@yrekausd.net	<b>CDS Code</b>	47-70508-6119259

### School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2009-10)

This section provides information about the school, its programs and its goals.

#### Principal's Message

The Yreka Community Day School is one of five schools in the Yreka Elementary School District. It presently serves students in fifth through eighth grades. Students who attend Yreka Community Day School have instruction in the core subject areas but also benefit from instruction in library science, physical education, fine arts, as well as having the services of a nurse.

Our goal at Yreka Community Day School is to provide every student with an alternative educational setting so that those students who are having difficulty in the comprehensive setting can have a place to go and work at their own pace. By so doing, they catch up to where they should be academically. The ultimate goal is to take a student who is struggling and get him or her to the point to be able to return to the comprehensive school setting.

**District's Mission Statement**

It is the mission of the Yreka Union School District to provide each student with a safe, caring and supportive atmosphere which will foster the intellectual, emotional, and social growth necessary to become a productive and responsible citizen who accepts cultural differences. All parents, students, teachers and staff are part of a supportive team helping children develop personal, educational, social, and ethical values.

**Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2009-10)**

This section provides information about opportunities for parents to become involved with school activities.

The Yreka Community Day School encourages parents to come into the classroom and volunteer either as a helper, mentor, or by sharing their talent by teaching a project in a field of interest. Anyone who is interested in volunteering must go through a volunteer screening process and should call Natalie Mercier, Teacher, at (530) 842-3561, extension 217, for more information or to sign-up.

**Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2009-10)**

This table displays the number of students enrolled in each grade level at the school.

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	0
Grade 1	0
Grade 2	0
Grade 3	0
Grade 4	0
Grade 5	0
Grade 6	0
Grade 7	1
Grade 8	0
<b>Total Enrollment</b>	<b>1</b>

**Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2009-10)**

This table displays the percent of students enrolled at the school who are identified as being in a particular group.

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment	Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American		White	100
American Indian or Alaska Native		Two or More Races	
Asian		Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	67
Filipino		English Learners	
Hispanic or Latino		Students with Disabilities	17
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander			

**III. School Climate****School Safety Plan (School Year 2009-10)**

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan.

The Yreka Community Day School provides a clean, healthy academic environment for its students; policies and plans are in place to ensure the safety of our students. Fire drills are held once a month, earthquake drills once per quarter, and we have an emergency disaster plan. We are in regular communication with the Yreka Police Department regarding traffic, safety, and situations in which we need assistance. Our Safety Committee conducts monthly safety inspections.

Our School Safety Plan was developed and written after extensive training for the staff and parents. The School Safety Plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with the school faculty in August 2009.

We are committed to ensuring the safety of our students. The current plan addresses the following issues:

- Current status of school crime
- Child abuse reporting procedures
- Disaster procedures
- Suspension and expulsion procedures
- Teacher notification of student behavior
- Sexual harassment
- Board policy dress code
- Safe ingress and egress
- Safe and orderly school environment
- Site rules and procedures

Students arrive at 8:45 A.M. and immediately begin working under the direction of the classroom teacher and an aide. During recess, the teacher or the aide is always outside with the students. At 3:15 P.M. our students leave campus to return home.

Visitors on campus are required to sign-in at the classroom/office and provide proof of identification if they are unknown to school personnel. The reason for the visitation is also required.

### Suspensions and Expulsions

This table displays the rate of suspensions and expulsions (the total number of incidents divided by the total enrollment) at the school and district levels for the most recent three-year period.

Rate	School			District		
	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
<b>Suspensions</b>	350.0	228.6	0.0	6.6	16.1	8.8
<b>Expulsions</b>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0

## IV. School Facilities

### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information about the condition of the school's grounds, buildings, and restrooms based on the most recent data available, and a description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements.

The Yreka Community Day School is located at 405 Jackson Street in Yreka, across the street from Jackson Street School. The campus consists of one spacious classroom that was built in 1948. The classroom is fully self-contained with a handicapped bathroom, a kitchen, and is bathed in natural light as two sides of the classroom are all windows. Three computers allow access to the Internet or are used for word processing. There is a fenced area outside designated for breaks, with a basketball court and a grassy area for students to recreate in. All school sites have undergone modernization within the last three to 20 years. The buildings and restrooms are in good condition, cleaned daily, and adequate for the number of students served. The District participates in the State Deferred Maintenance Program and has scheduled maintenance planned to ensure good repair of all facilities and grounds.

Students arrive shortly before school starts and are under the direct supervision of the teacher from then on until they leave the campus at 3:15 to go home. The only time the teacher is not in the room is when she takes her 30-minute lunch.

The school facility is cleaned daily by janitorial staff and maintained by District maintenance staff. One hundred percent of the toilets work. There are two sinks within the classroom, one drinking fountain, and a full mini kitchen including range, oven, and refrigerator.

The school grounds are clean and well kept with a new cyclone fence six feet in height behind the basketball backboard and four feet high around the rest of the blacktopped playground. The new fencing and gates were installed in the spring of 2005. Outside the cyclone fence area is a grassy area for playing turf sports and games. There is also a new bench and outside eating area.

A forced air conditioner/heating unit was added in July/August of 2007. Re-roofing was completed in the summer of 2008. A ceiling fan was added to re-circulate air in January 2009.

The District participates in the State School Deferred Maintenance Program, which provides State-matching funds on a dollar-for-dollar basis, to assist school districts with expenditures for major repair or replacement of existing school building components. Typically, this includes roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, interior or exterior painting, and floor systems.

For the 2009-10 school year, the District budgeted \$14,805 for the Deferred Maintenance Program. This represents 0.0018% of the District's general fund budget.

The interior of the Community Day School classroom was painted in 2009. The exterior of the Community Day School Building was painted during the summer of 2010.

### School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2010-11)

This table displays the results of the most recently completed school site inspection to determine the school facility's good repair status.

System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[ ]	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	
<b>Interior:</b> Interior Surfaces	[ ]	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	
<b>Cleanliness:</b> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[ ]	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	
<b>Electrical:</b> Electrical	[ ]	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	
<b>Restrooms/Fountains:</b> Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[ ]	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	
<b>Safety:</b> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[ ]	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	
<b>Structural:</b> Structural Damage, Roofs	[ ]	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	
<b>External:</b> Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[ ]	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	
<b>Overall Rating</b>	[ ]	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	

### V. Teachers

#### Teacher Credentials

This table displays the number of teachers assigned to the school with a full credential, without a full credential, and those teaching outside of their subject area of competence. Detailed information about teacher qualifications can be found on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/>.

Teachers	School			District
	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2009-10
<b>With Full Credential</b>	1	1	1	54
<b>Without Full Credential</b>	0	0	0	0
<b>Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence</b>	0	0	0	---

#### Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

This table displays the number of teacher misassignments (teachers assigned without proper legal authorization) and the number of vacant teacher positions (not filled by a single designated teacher assigned to teach the entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester). *Note: Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.*

Indicator	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
<b>Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners</b>	0	0	0
<b>Total Teacher Misassignments</b>	0	0	0
<b>Vacant Teacher Positions</b>	0	0	0

### Core Academic Classes Taught by No Child Left Behind Compliant Teachers (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays the percent of classes in core academic subjects taught by No Child Left Behind (NCLB) compliant and non-NCLB compliant teachers in the school, in all schools in the district, in high-poverty schools in the district, and in low-poverty schools in the district. High poverty schools are defined as those schools with student participation of approximately 75 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low poverty schools are those with student participation of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program. More information on teacher qualifications required under NCLB can be found on the CDE Improving Teacher and Principal Quality Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/>.

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Taught by	
	NCLB Compliant Teachers	Non-NCLB Compliant Teachers
This School	100	0
All Schools in District	100	0
High-Poverty Schools in District	N/A	N/A
Low-Poverty Schools in District	N/A	N/A

## VI. Support Staff

### Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays, in units of full-time equivalents (FTE), the number of academic counselors and other support staff who are assigned to the school and the average number of students per academic counselor. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	0.0	N/A
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0.0	---
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0.0	---
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)	0.0	---
Psychologist	0.1	---
Social Worker	0.0	---
Nurse	0.1	---
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.0	---
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	0.0	---
Other	0.0	---

## VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

### Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2010-11)

This table displays information about the quality, currency, and availability of the standards-aligned textbooks and other instructional materials used at the school; whether the textbooks and instructional materials are from the most recent adoption (yes/no); and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

---

Core subject area committees of teachers and administrators meet to review textbooks and instructional materials for adoption. Those committees make recommendations to the District Curriculum Committee. The District Curriculum Committee then makes a recommendation to the local governing board. Classroom teachers are the majority of members on the District Curriculum Committee. Adoptions are consistent with the content and cycles of the State Curriculum Frameworks. Current, Standards-based textbooks and instructional materials are purchased and assigned to all students.

The District has affirmed that each pupil, including English Learners, have their own textbook to use in class and to take home. This data was most recently collected and verified in September 2009.

Core Curriculum Area	Quality, Currency, and Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials	Percent of Pupils Who Lack Their Own Assigned Textbooks and Instructional Materials	Most Recent SBE or Local Governing Agency Approved Textbooks and Instructional Materials
Reading/Language Arts	McDougal Littell (Grade 7) McDougal Littell (Grade 8) READ 180	0	Yes
Mathematics	Houghton Mifflin (Grades 4-6) McDougal Littell (Grades 7-8)	0	Yes
Science	FOSS (Grades 4-5) CPO (Grades 6-8)	0	Yes
History-Social Science	Across the Centuries, Houghton Mifflin (Grade 7) The American Nation, California Edition, Prentice Hall (Grade 8)	0	Yes
Foreign Language			
Health			
Visual and Performing Arts			

## VIII. School Finances

### Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2008-09)

This table displays a comparison of the school's per pupil expenditures from unrestricted (basic) sources with other schools in the district and throughout the state, and a comparison of the average teacher salary at the school site with average teacher salaries at the district and state levels. Detailed information regarding school expenditures can be found on the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/> and teacher salaries can be found on the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$20,597	\$20,597	\$0	\$37,315
District	---	---		\$52,662
Percent Difference: School Site and District	---	---		
State	---	---	\$5,681	\$57,352
Percent Difference: School Site and State	---	---		

### Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2009-10)

This section provides information about the programs and supplemental services that are provided at the school through either categorical funds or other sources.

These programs and services are provided at the school either through categorical funds or other sources that support and assist students:

- Title VII – Indian Education Funding
- California Math and Science Project Grant

## Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2008-09)

This table displays district salaries for teachers, principals, and superintendents, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size. The table also displays teacher and administrative salaries as a percent of a district's budget, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size based on the salary schedule. Detailed information regarding salaries may be found on the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$36,976	\$38,905
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$52,138	\$56,504
Highest Teacher Salary	\$61,360	\$71,750
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$81,838	\$92,053
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$81,838	\$95,666
Average Principal Salary (High)	N/A	\$94,401
Superintendent Salary	\$117,103	\$111,055
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	37.6	37.9
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	4.8	6.8

## IX. Student Performance

### Standardized Testing and Reporting Program

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including the California Standards Tests (CSTs); the California Modified Assessment (CMA), and the California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA). The CSTs show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. The CSTs include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and ten through eleven. The CAPA includes ELA, mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations. The CMA includes ELA for grades three through eight and science in grades five and eight and is an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations. Student scores are reported as performance levels. Detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, can be found on the CDE Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Results Web site at <http://star.cde.ca.gov>. Program information regarding the STAR Program can be found in the Explaining 2009 STAR Program Summary Results to the Public guide at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/sr/documents/pkt5intrpts09.pdf>.

*Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.*

### Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the percent of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards).

Subject	School			District			State		
	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
English-Language Arts	*	*	*	44	49	49	46	50	52
Mathematics	*	*	*	51	54	54	43	46	48
Science	*	*	*	63	64	64	46	50	54
History-Social Science	*	*	*	59	57	57	36	41	44

### Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group – Most Recent Year

This table displays the percent of students, by group, achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards) for the most recent testing period.

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	English- Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science
All Students in the LEA				
All Student at the School				
Male	*	*	*	*
Female	*	*	*	*
Black or African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	*
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino				
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander				
White	*	*	*	*
Two or More Races	*	*		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	*	*	*	*
English Learners				
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	*
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				

### California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2009-10)

The California Physical Fitness Test is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. Detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state levels, may be found on the CDE Physical Fitness Testing Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/>. *Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy, or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.*

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5	*	*	*
7	*	*	*

## X. Accountability

### Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of the academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. Detailed information about the API can be found at the CDE Academic Performance Index (API) Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/>.

### Academic Performance Index Ranks – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools API ranks. The statewide API rank ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state. The similar schools API rank reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2007	2008	2009
Statewide	*	*	*
Similar Schools	*	*	*

### Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the actual API changes in points added or lost for the past three years, and the most recent API score. *Note: "N/A" means that the student group is not numerically significant.*

Group	Actual API Change		
	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
All Students at the School	N/A	N/A	N/A
Black or African American	N/A	N/A	N/A
American Indian or Alaska Native	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian	N/A	N/A	N/A
Filipino	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic or Latino	N/A	N/A	N/A
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A
White	N/A	N/A	N/A
Two or More Races	N/A	N/A	N/A
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	N/A	N/A	N/A
English Learners	N/A	N/A	N/A
Students with Disabilities	N/A	N/A	N/A

## Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – 2010 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the Growth API at the school, LEA, and state level.

Group	2010 Growth API		
	School	LEA	State
All Students at the School		796	767
Black or African American			686
American Indian or Alaska Native			728
Asian			890
Filipino			851
Hispanic or Latino			715
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander			753
White		813	838
Two or More Races			808
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged		760	712
English Learners			692
Students with Disabilities			580

### Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal NCLB Act requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/>.

### Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays an indication of whether the school and the district made AYP overall and whether the school and the district met each of the AYP criteria.

AYP Criteria	School	District
Overall	Yes	No
Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	Yes	No
Percent Proficient: Mathematics	Yes	No
API	N/A	Yes
Graduation Rate	N/A	N/A

### Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2010-11)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. Detailed information about PI identification can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/>.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		Not In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		
Year in Program Improvement		
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	---	1
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	---	25.0

## XI. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

### Professional Development

This section provides information on the annual number of school days dedicated to staff development for the most recent three-year period.

---

First and second year teachers go through the Beginning Teacher Support and Assistance program (BTSA) to support them in the early years of their career. Teachers attend staff trainings and workshops that are relevant to their work assignments. The District has seven collaboration days scheduled during the school year. These are minimum days that allow time for staff collaboration and training.

Several days throughout the year are dedicated to staff and professional development. During the 2008-09 school year seven minimum days were held. The primary focus of those days was utilizing grade level teams to work on improving instructional practices. Staff has been introduced to the idea of Professional Learning Communities where they use a structure to work in their grade level teams to improve assessment and instructional practices.

Our focus has also been on improving our teaching of writing. During the 2009-10 school year we will be focusing on how to implement Positive Behavior Support in our schools. We will also continue to work on improving writing instruction.

During the 2010-2011 school year all teachers of ELA attended Instructional Materials training through the Siskiyou County Office of Education.